

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. (Mg) j $\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{3},\gamma_{2},\gamma_{2}$ **EXAMINER ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1	-	-
	6.4	

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/401,004**

Applicant

Examiner

Lang et al.

Grace Hsu, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1627

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 6, 2000</u>	D 1881 1819 1818 1818 1818 1818 1818 181
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosin accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>one</u> molonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period application to become abandoned. (35 U S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtain 37 CFR 1.136(a).	d for response will source the
Disposition of Claim	
X: Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)X Claims 1-34	Is/are objected to
X Claims <u>1-34</u> are subj	ject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examine	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapprove	ed disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents ha	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	<u> </u>



Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1627 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is (703) 305-3704. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Jyothsna Venkat, Ph.D., Supervisory Examiner, at Jyothsna Venkat'a uspto.gov or 703-308-2439. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

1. An Information Disclosure Statement received December 20, 1999 was entered as Paper No. 5.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a combinatorial library of two or more compounds of Formula I, classified in class 436, subclasses 536;
 - Claims 16-30, drawn to a single compound, classified in class 548, subclass 305.1; and
 - III. Claims 31-34, drawn to a method of preparing a benzimidazole, classified in class 436, subclasses 518.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:
- 4. Groups I and II represent separate and distinct inventions. Groups I and II are different from each other, because those Group I is drawn to a combinatorial library (comprised of a different composition or a collection of two or more compounds, which have different biological, therapeutic or some other functional uses), while Group II is drawn to a single compound (directed to a product compound, which has different chemical structures, functions and chemical



Art Unit: 1627

and physical properties, such as biological, therapeutic or some other functional uses). Art anticipating or rendering obvious Group I would not anticipate or render obvious Group II, because they are drawn to two different inventions. Therefore, Groups I and II have different issues regarding patentability and enablement and represent patentably distinct subject matter, which merits separate and burdensome searches.

Page 3

- 5. Group II and Group III are related as product and process (or method) of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, a compound of Group I may be made by a process or method different from that defined by Group III. For example, the compounds of Group II may be synthesized by alternate synthetic routes using different synthetic organic methods with different starting materials and reagents.
- These inventions are distinct for the reasons above and have acquired a separate status in 6. the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and/or shown by their different classifications. While some of the aforementioned groups are classified under an identical class/sub-class, the corresponding non-patent literature search remains unaffected. Each of the identified groups may require different searches. For example, methods and products groups require different searches. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1627

Election of Species

7. This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed

invention.

Applicants are required in Group I, claims 1-15, drawn to combinatorial libraries, or

Group II, claims 16-30, drawn to a single compound, to respectively elect a corresponding

representative single compound species for the claimed combinatorial library or a

corresponding representative single compound species, wherein each of the functional groups

of the core formula, i.e., R¹, R², R³, R⁴, R⁵ R⁶, R⁷, and R⁸ and each respective sub-group defined

therein should be defined. Each of the species encompassed by the claimed invention represent

patentably distinct subject matter. In the instant case, those species each involve different

structures and modes of action. Therefore, those species involve different patentability and

enablement issues.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

For search purposes, applicants also should provide the chemical structure of a

single compound species, wherein specific chemical formula substituents of attached to the

core formula are defined either by picture or by expressing the species in terms of the

variables of the formula.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1627

....

- Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Art Unit: 1627

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1).

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner

should be directed to Grace C. Hsu, Ph.D., J.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-7005. The

Examiner may be reached during normal business hours, Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to

5:30 pm (EST). A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Jythosna Venkat, Ph.D., may be reached at (703) 308-2439. The fax number assigned

to Group 1627 is (703) 305-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application should be directed to the Group 1627 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-0196.

Grace C. Hsu, Ph.D., J.D.

December 18, 2000

DR. JYOTHSNA VENKAT PHLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6